

Complaint against John Scott QC.

To: John Scott QC

From: Tom Muirhead of the Fair Trial Project (Registered Charity SCO48283).

Subject: Complaint: Solicitor Advocates are failing to disclose that Scotland's judges lack independence and impartiality.

I am the Chief Executive Officer of the Fair Trial Project. We believe that no one in Scotland has ever had a fair trial. The primary reason for this is that Scotland's judges lack independence and impartiality.

The complaint is that you have failed in a) your duty to your clients to inform them of this; and b) your duty to the court and the public to raise this issue.

The Fair Trial Project contends that your failure to disclose a) the lack of open justice created by the exclusion of the public from the courts during the coronavirus pandemic; and b) the lack of independent and impartial tribunals is criminal and civil fraud. The following papers on our website list and explain the matters that you are failing to disclose. Please see:

1. 'Why the Faculty of Advocates is a Criminal Organisation' at <https://fairtrialproject.org/why-the-faculty-of-advocates-is-a-criminal-organisation/>.
2. The unlawful exclusion of parts of the media and the public from the courts.
<https://fairtrialproject.org/open-justice/>
3. Judges unlawfully working outside of their judicial role at: 'https://fairtrialproject.org/judges-unlawfully-working/'
4. Complaint made against Lord Carloway at: <https://fairtrialproject.org/complaint-against-lord-carloway/>

5. Scottish Judges lack of independence and impartiality due to conflicts of interest at: <https://fairtrialproject.org/judges-with-a-conflict-of-interest/>
6. See <https://fairtrialproject.org/campaign-overview/> for a full list of our campaigns.

One example, where you failed in your duty to disclose relevant matters to your client, and raise these matters with the court, is the recent contempt trial of Mr Craig Murray. The Society of Solicitor Advocates organised an online seminar on Sections 274 and 275 of the Criminal Procedure (Scotland) Act 1995 (CPSA 1995). The talk was given by Lady Dorrian and you hosted the seminar. It took place on the 30th of July 2020 over Zoom.

I attended the seminar and it was obvious to me that you and some of the other solicitor advocates were friends with Lady Dorrian. My view is that Lady Dorrian was coaching those present on her interpretation of the law. She had a tutor to pupil type relationship with those attending. I asked two questions about the lawfulness of Lady Dorrian giving seminars to advocates and solicitor advocates but these were ignored. You failed to disclose this at the trial of Mr Murray, where Lady Dorrian was judging. This is fraud.

It does not matter whether or not you were involved with Mr Murray's trial, you still have a duty to disclose that Lady Dorrian has links to advocates and solicitor advocates. A failure to disclose the links between Lady Dorrian and the advocates involved in Mr Murray's trial is fraud on an art and part basis. You organised a seminar that involved Lady Dorrian and advocates and solicitor advocates, therefore you helped instigate fraud.

This email is to give you a chance to respond, before making complaints to the police and the Scottish Legal Complaints Commission (SLCC).

Please note that the Scottish Prison Service report suicides in Scottish prisons every year. If these prisoners got a fair trial, then this is a tragic statistic. If they did not, then these suicides are unlawful killings. 'But for' the unlawful prosecution and lack of a fair trial, the prisoners would not be in prison to commit suicide.

Tom Muirhead (Chief Executive Officer of the Fair Trial Project)

Date: 22.4.21